| ¢  | Case 5:21-cv-09953-PCP   | Document 198-3   | Filed 04/10/25   | Page 1 of 3                |  |
|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15  | Solana Beach, CA 92075<br>Telephone: (858) 997-0860<br>Facsimile: (585) 369-0096<br><b>MOTLEY RICE LLC</b><br>Max N. Gruetzmacher ( <i>pro h</i><br>mgruetzmacher@motleyrice.<br>Christopher F. Moriarty ( <i>pro</i><br>cmoriarty@motleyrice.com<br>28 Bridgeside Blvd.<br>Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464<br>Telephone: (843) 216-9000<br><i>Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs a</i><br><i>Lead Counsel for the Settlem</i> | d R. Kaplan (SBN 230144)<br>lan@saxenawhite.com<br>Lomas Sante Fe Dr., Suite #180<br>na Beach, CA 92075<br>bhone: (858) 997-0860<br>imile: (585) 369-0096<br><b>FLEY RICE LLC</b><br>N. Gruetzmacher ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )<br>netzmacher@motleyrice.com<br>stopher F. Moriarty ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )<br>iarty@motleyrice.com<br>ridgeside Blvd.<br>Pleasant, SC 29464<br>phone: (843) 216-9000 |  |                            |  |
| <ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ol> | vs.<br>CHEGG, INC., DANIEL L.<br>ANDREW J. BROWN, and I<br>SCHULTZ,  | y Situated,<br>aintiff,<br>ROSENWEIG,  | Case No. 5:21-cv<br><u>CLASS ACTIO</u><br>[PROPOSED] O<br>PLAN OF ALLO | <u>N</u><br>RDER APPROVING |  |

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Lead Plaintiffs' Motion for Final
 Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan of Allocation (ECF No. 193) in the above-captioned
 action (the "Litigation"); the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein and
 otherwise being fully informed of the matters hereto;

5

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

6
1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as
7
7
8
8
7
8
8
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9

8 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the
9 Litigation and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Settlement Class Members.

10 3. Pursuant to and in compliance with the Court's December 19, 2024 Modified Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing Notice (ECF No. 192), Federal Rule of Civil 11 Procedure 23, and all other applicable laws and rules, this Court hereby finds and concludes that 12 13 due and adequate notice was directed to persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members 14 advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair 15 opportunity was accorded to such persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members to be 16 heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation. There have been no objections to the Plan of 17 Allocation.

The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, which is set forth
 in the Notice of (1) Pendency of Class Action, Certification of Settlement Class, and Proposed
 Settlement and Plan of Allocation; (2) Settlement Fairness Hearing; and (3) Motion for an Award
 of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses (the "Notice"), provides a fair and
 reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund provided by the
 Settlement among eligible Settlement Class Members.

- 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in the
  Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court hereby approves the Plan of
  Allocation.
- 27
- 28 ||

| 1  | 6. The finality of the Judgment entered with respect to the Settlement shall not be       |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | affected in any manner by this Order, or any appeal from this Order approving the Plan of |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | Allocation.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | 7. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by   |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.   |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | DATED:  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | THE HONORABLE P. CASEY PITTS  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION - 2<br>CASE NO. 5:21-CV-09953               |  |  |  |  |